01	
02	
03	
04	
05	
06	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
07	AT SEATTLE
08	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CASE NO. CR16-80-RSM-005
09	Plaintiff,)
10	v.) DETENTION ORDER
11	GURPREET SINGH SANDHU) Defendant.)
12))
13	
14	Offenses charged:
15	Conspiracy to Distribute Cocaine and Heroin
16	2. Attempted Possession of Cocaine and Heroin with Intent to Distribute
17	<u>Date of Detention Hearing</u> : February 7, 2023.
18	The Court, having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §3142(f) and
19	based upon the factual findings and statement of reasons for detention hereafter set forth, finds
20	that no condition or combination of conditions which defendant can meet will reasonably assure
21	the appearance of defendant as required and the safety of other persons and the community.
22	
	DETENTION ORDER PAGE -1

FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

- 1. Defendant has been charged with drug offenses, the maximum penalties of which are in excess of ten years. There is therefore a rebuttable presumption against defendant as to both dangerousness and flight risk, under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e). Defendant is a Citizen of Canada and has lived in British Columbia for twenty-four years. Defendant has two children with his wife, all of whom live in Canada. Defendant possessed a Canadian and Indian passport. The former was seized by Canadian officials in 2018 pursuant to this matter, and the latter Defendant claims expired at an unknown time. He alleged that he visited India approximately four times since moving to Canada, however his wife reported that he visited India nearly annually since 1998. His last visit to India took place in 2017, after he became aware of the Indictment in this matter.
- 2. Defendant poses a risk of flight based on his significant ties to Canada and India and lack of material connections to the United States. His wife, two children, and parents live in Canada. Defendant has no connection or ties to the Western District of Washington. Location monitoring equipment provided by Pretrial Services would not function properly outside of the United States. Further, Pretrial Services does not have an agreement with the supervision office in Canada to provide courtesy supervision. During extradition proceedings in the instant action, Defendant was untruthful with the Canadian authorities when he asserted that he was in ongoing negotiations with the US authorities, in an apparent effort to delay the proceedings. The weight of the evidence in strong, in that when Defendant was stopped at the border in the instant offense, he admitted that he had a secret compartment in his truck, that he met with an individual in Washington to pick up drugs, and that his role was to transport the

01	drugs across the border.
02	3. There does not appear to be any condition or combination of conditions that will
03	reasonably assure the defendant's appearance at future Court hearings.
04	It is therefore ORDERED:
05	1. Defendant shall be detained pending trial, and committed to the custody of the Attorney
06	General for confinement in a correction facility separate, to the extent practicable, from
07	persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal;
08	2. Defendant shall be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel;
09	3. On order of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person
10	in charge of the corrections facility in which defendant is confined shall deliver the
11	defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a
12	court proceeding; and
13	4. The Clerk shall direct copies of this Order to counsel for the United States, to counsel for
14	the defendant, to the United States Marshal, and to the United State Probation Services
15	Officer.
16	DATED this 7nd day of February, 2023.
17	StateVandhan
18	S. KATE VAUGHAN United States Magistrate Judge
19	Ontola states magistrate tradge
20	
21	
22	
	DETENTION ORDER

DETENTION ORDER PAGE -3